

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 3-19 remain pending in the application. Claim 2 has been cancelled without prejudice.

Applicant appreciatively notes that claims 2 and 3-6 have been indicated as containing allowable subject matter. In response, claim 1 has been amended to include claim 2 to place the application in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In response, claim 1 has been amended to delete the term "big" with more definite language. Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 2, 3-9 and 11-19 are objected to because of the noted informalities. In response, the claims have been amended. Accordingly, these objections should be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 10-12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsai et al. In response, allowable claim 2 has been incorporated into claim 1. Since all of the remaining claims are dependent on claim 1, this rejection has been overcome and accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. in view of Wield. Since claims 13-16 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1, this rejection has been overcome and accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. in view of well known prior art (MPEP 2144.03). Since claim 9 is directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1, this rejection has been overcome and accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. in view of Wield and further in view of known prior art (MPEP 2144.03). Since claims 18 and 19 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1, this rejection has been overcome and accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

For the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1,

along with the dependent claims, are patentable over the applied art.

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP



Kenneth M. Berner
Registration No. 37,093

Customer Number: 22429
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 684-1111
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile
Date: March 4, 2004
KMB/jd